<u>Preliminary 'Exploratory' Meeting with Equipment</u> <u>Group Representatives - 15th. March</u>

Luca Arnaudon SL-HRF,
Guy Baribaud SL-BI
Gary Beetham SL-CO
Etienne Carlier SL-BT
Edmond Ciapala SL-HRF
Juan Casas-Cubillos LHC-ACR
Reiner Denz LHC-ICP
Jean-Jacques Gras SL-BI
John Molendijk SL-HRF
John Pett SL- PC

Bruno Puccio SL-CO
Raymond Rausch SL-CO
Pedro Ribeiro SL-CO
Adriaan Rijllart LHC-IAS
Claude-Henri Sicard LHC-IAS.

Felix Rodriguez-Mateos LHC-ICP Richard Gavaggio LHC-VAC Isabelle Laugier LHC-VAC.

Preliminary Meeting

- Attractiveness of Hardware Sharing
 - 1) Reduction of overall required effort?
 - 2) Improved homogeneity in final (LHC) control system?
- Successful Hardware Sharing
- ⇒ Timing, Field buses, VME bus, PLCs Well in hand.....
- Imposition of Hardware Sharing
 - **⇒** Diversity of equipment may mean that single common solution requires more effort.
 - ⇒ May not even succeed => zero solution (worse than several!)
- Imposition of standards:
- Limit h/w and s/w platforms and support to 'supported standard' types ??
- Coherent results best achieved by proper definition of functionality rather than the methods to realize the equipment

Preliminary Meeting Contd.

- ⇒ Some diversity in methods and approach is beneficial:
- ⇒ Keeps a base of in-house expertise, encourages new ideas, encourages follow up of outside industrial developments, etc.
 - !!! Valid only if this expertise and experience is shared by all.
- ⇒ Forum for the **sharing of experience and knowledge** between equipment groups
- ⇒ Collaboration is a pre-requisite for sharing

Preliminary Meeting Summary - Some Remarks

- Sharing works best if initiated at start of development/project needs good specification
- Some specialised parts can be taken 'as is' e.g. sensors and conditioners.
 Many shared/shareable items exist already
- Purchase of COTS equipment is an excellent form of sharing first choice!
- Experience has shown that adapting an existing development for a new application is not generally worthwhile, even although it may seem attractive at the outset.
- Sharing evaluation effort is efficient and encourages further cooperation e.g. Equipment radiation tests.
- Collaboration on issues such as Post Mortem and Time-Stamping would be welcome.
- Newsgroup and mailing list would be a useful way to exchange information and improve communication. Put information on the Web.

LHC CP Hardware Sharing Session

• Participants:

Guy Baribaud SL/BI, Gary Beetham SL/CO, Edmond Ciapala SL/HRF, Rossano Giachino SL/OP, Daniel Perrin TIS/TE, Marco Pezzetta TERA, Bruno Puccio SL/CO, Pedro Ribeiro SL/CO, Adriaan Rijllart LHC-IAS, Javier Serrano PS/CO

- Preliminary meeting between Equipment Group representatives
 15 March 2001
- Discussion:

 - **⇒** Common needs common developments

• Bus systems – VME (G64) PCI other

- VME well established
- Mezzanine Modules
- Some interest in PCI and CPCI -> PXI
- Could be followed up

- Embedded uP systems in general –
 Hardware Software real time operating system issues
 - Non- support of OS9
 - Use of mC/OS, AMX, LynxOS, Linux
 - Put real time in PCA level
 - Use of FPGA, VHDL etc to replace micro-controller
 - Widely Used in various groups
 - Joint PS/SL, LHC B etc
 - Interest in many groups needs learning!
 - Modularity, programmability, facilitates reuse and sharing

- DSP hardware & software platforms and support
 - Use in PS, RF, BI, PO others
 - Filters and fast data processing
 - Generally COTS modules, stand alone or introduced into hardware
 - Programming by supplier or in-house
 - Information could be better spread?

- Acquisition systems in general, COTS or other
 - VME based CERN + COTS
 - LabView systems in widespread use
 - Mainly in instrumentation applications

See Later

- Many 'Standard' modules/ elements already available:
 - Signal Conditioners temperature pressure etc. (LHC)
 - GPS interfaces (SL-CO) Timing interfaces
 - Radiation tested fieldbus interfaces and components

Information can be found from various sources

Function Generator

SL CO design
=> Clients SL HRF, Others ?

- Timing interface
 - Time of Day Event driven ?
 - Classical Timing?
 - SPS related injection events!
- Timing WG Official Solution ?
- Hardware integration
- Special development?

Remote direct analog signal transmission

e.g. Tunnel to surface or PCR -=> Cabling economy

- Via multiplexed channels
- Directly over individual fibres
- IP transmission?

- Diagnostics and acquisition equipment
 - PXI/PCI/VME dedicated systems (COTS)
 - NAOS replacement ?
 - VXI obsolescence
 - Non support of VxWorks
 - Triggering on LHC scale?
 - Need a common solution

- Interlock Systems
 - Power Permit and Beam Permit systems
 - Other equipment where design not yet done
 - Review of past solutions
 - Technology to use
 - - relays/solid state mixture ?
 - Analysis of reliability
 - Clear definition of interface between equipments
 - Redundancy
 - Diagnostics of the system itself (LEP RF experience)
 - Machine Protection working group + Equipment group collaboration

- Post Mortem
 - Possible Architecture 2 or more layers
 - One input per equipment to BP or PP controller
 - Many inputs for each equipment at lower layer
 - LEP RF example
 - Other machines ?
 - Scope of MP working group ...

- RF Interests and others ??
 - Machine state and parameters to be transmitted over timing:
 - Energy
 - Current
 - Mode
 - Bucket Number / Injection batch

- RF Interests and others ??
 - Slave Ethernet for equipment connection:
 - Independent of main Ethernet
 - Connection of industrial equipment
 - Connection of instrumentation
 - Direct Ethernet
 - Via adapters e.g. GPIB
 - Connection of in-house equipment

Conclusions

- Collaboration
 - Mail list / news group Web pages for info EDMS
 - Encourage exchange of information
 - Inform of new developments
 - Keep it ongoing
- Common Developments
 - Many systems designed but many important systems still to be implemented!
 - Now is the time to resolve the issues

Final Remarks

- Thanks to participants at both meetings
- Foregoing far from a complete account

Apologies for omissions, errors etc.

Sharing session only partially representative

RF system bias ?

• Encourage everyone to consider what they need from others